

**Answers to the exam ISP of December 19, 2005**

1. Counting time in hours:

- a. Taking  $t = 2$  in  $P(N(t) = 20) = e^{-10t}(10t)^{20}/20!$  yields 0.089
- b.  $P(N(0.01) \geq 1) = 1 - e^{-0.1}$ , or  $P(X \leq 0.01)$  where  $X$  is an interarrival time, hence exponentially distributed with parameter 10.
- c. For the remaining interarrival time  $X$  we have  $EX = 1/10$  hours, so 6 minutes after 12.00 hours. Hence at 12.06 hours.
- d. Let  $p = P(\text{job has } > 3 \text{ pages}) = 1 - e^{-2}(1 + 2 + 2 + 4/3) \approx 0.143$ . Then  $\{M(t)\}$  is a Poisson process with rate  $10p$ , so  $P(M(t) = m) = e^{-10pt}(10pt)^m/m!$ .

2. a. Given the present, say  $X_n = i$ , the process will jump to 0 or  $i + 1$  with probabilities that do not depend on  $X_{n-1}, X_{n-2}, \dots$ . Hence the process is a DTMC. It is irreducible (the path 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 0 has positive probability so all states communicate), aperiodic ( $\text{GCD}(2,3,4,\dots)=1$ , so period of state 0, and hence all other states, is 1), and not transient but recurrent (finite closed class).

- b. Solving  $\pi = \pi P$  (where  $P_{i,0} = i/6$ ,  $P_{i,i+1} = 1 - i/6$ , other entries equal 0) yields  $\pi = \pi_0(1, 1, 5/6, 20/36, 60/6^3, 120/6^4, 120/6^5)$ , so that  $\sum \pi_i = 1$  yields  $\pi_0 = (1 + 1 + 5/6 + 20/36 + 60/6^3 + 120/6^4 + 120/6^5)^{-1} = 324/1223 \approx 0.265$ .
- c.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_{n-1} = 2, X_n = 0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_{n-1} = 2)P(X_n = 0 | X_{n-1} = 2) = \pi_2 P_{2,0} = 5/6 \pi_0 1/3 = 324/1223$ .
- d.  $m_0 = 1/\pi_0 = 1223/324 \approx 3.77$ .

3. Counting time in hours:

- a. Suppose  $X(t) = n$ . Then time until next arrival (departure) has exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda = 30$  ( $n\mu = 60n$ ). Hence the minimum of these also has exponential distribution, with parameter, with  $\lambda + n\mu = 30 + 60n$
- b. Solving balance equations:  $\lambda\pi_{n-1} = n\mu\pi_n$ , so  $\pi_n = \lambda/(n\mu)\pi_{n-1} = \dots = (\lambda/\mu)^n/n! \pi_0$ , where  $\pi_0 = (\sum (1/2)^n/n!)^{-1} = e^{-1/2}$ . Hence  $\pi_n = e^{-1/2}(1/2)^n/n!$ .
- c. Since  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  is a birth-death process, it is time-reversible and we can consider the process  $\{\tilde{X}(t)\}$  on the truncated state space  $\{0, 1, \dots, 4\}$ . For  $n$  in this set we find  $\tilde{\pi}_n = \pi_n / (\sum_{i=0}^4 \pi_i)$ , so in particular  $\tilde{\pi}_4 = \frac{(1/2)^4/4!}{1 + 1/2 + (1/2)^2/2! + (1/2)^3/3! + (1/2)^4/4!} = 1/633 \approx 0.0016$ .

4. a. Yes, let  $X_n$  be the time between breakdowns  $n - 1$  and  $n$ . Then  $X_n$  consists of repair time plus remaining interarrival time. Hence all  $X_n$  have the same distribution and are independent.

- b. Elementary renewal theorem and/or strong law of large numbers for renewal processes: long run rate is  $1/EX$ , where  $EX = T/2 + 1/\lambda$ . So long run rate is  $2\lambda/(\lambda T + 2)$

- c. Regenerative process or alternating renewal process:  
 $E \text{ repair time} / (E \text{ cycle time}) = \frac{T/2}{T/2 + 1/\lambda} = \lambda T / (\lambda T + 2)$

P.T.O.

5. a. No. The relation  $ES_{N(t)+1} = \mu[m(t) + 1]$  holds since  $N(t) + 1$  is a stopping time for the sequence  $\{X_i\}$ . But  $N(t)$  is not (e.g. the event  $N(t) = 2$  also depends on the value of  $X_3$ ), so we cannot use Wald to conclude that  $E \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i = EXEN(t)$ . Alternatively: suppose that  $ES_{N(t)} = \mu m(t)$  is true. Then the expectation of the renewal interval containing  $t$  would be  $EX_{N(t)+1} = ES_{N(t)+1} - ES_{N(t)} = E\mu[m(t)+1] - \mu m(t) = \mu$ , in violation of the inspection paradox, which says that  $EX_{N(t)+1} > \mu$  (unless  $X_i$  is some constant w.p. 1).
- b. Using partial integration we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 Ee^{-sY} &= \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \frac{d}{dx} P(Y \leq x) dx \\
 &= \mu^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} P(X > x) dx \\
 &= \mu^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} (1 - F(x)) dx \\
 &= -(\mu s)^{-1} e^{-sx} (1 - F(x)) \Big|_0^\infty - (\mu s)^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dF(x) \\
 &= (\mu s)^{-1} - (\mu s)^{-1} \phi(s) = (1 - \phi(s)) / s\mu.
 \end{aligned}$$