

## Homework lectures 16 and 23 March 2006

It is NOT required to make all exercises; as discussed, during the lectures I often say ‘this could be a nice exercise’, so there are many. But on student’s request, I also formulate some exercises in detail below.

(Note: there may (will) be errors in the following; this is unintentional, and you are requested to be critical as always.)

# 1 About existence

## 1.1 Main theorems

1. We consider a functional  $F$  defined on a Hilbertspace (or reflexive Banach space)  $H$ . A subset (loosely called ‘manifold’, assumed to be non-empty)  $M$  is given and we consider the minimization problem

$$\mu := \inf \{ F(u) \mid u \in M \}$$

If  $\mu$  is finite, and there exists a minimizer  $\hat{u}$  we will write

$$\hat{u} \in \inf \{ F(u) \mid u \in M \},$$

and then say that the optimization problem is well-defined (or well-posed).

Prove that the problem is well-defined in the following cases:

- (a)  $H$  is finite dimensional,  $F$  is continuous, and  $M$  is compact.
- (b)  $H$  is infinite dimensional,  $F$  is continuous, and  $M$  is compact.
- (c)  $H$  is infinite dimensional,  $F$  is lower-semi-continuous, and  $M$  is weakly compact.
- (d)  $H$  is infinite dimensional,  $F$  is lower-semi-continuous, and  $M$  is weakly closed and  $F$  is *coercive*, meaning:

$$F(u) \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } \|u\| \rightarrow \infty.$$

2. For applications (that I will deal with) the cases 1c and 1d. above are most interesting. Prove that: *A Banach space is reflexive iff (if and only if) the unit ball is weakly compact.*

## 1.2 Applications (examples)

In the following exercises, investigate the existence of solutions. That is to say,

- either show that the problem is well-defined, (you do not have to find the minimizer, however in some cases it can be found explicitly; see next section);
- or show that  $\mu = -\infty$  by constructing a sequence  $u_n$  for which  $F(u_n) \rightarrow -\infty$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- or show  $\mu = \text{finite}$ , but no solution exists, by showing that for each sequence  $u_n$  for which  $F(u_n) \rightarrow \mu$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the sequence has no convergent subsequence.

In all exercises you have to find a suitable formulation (choose the functional, the set  $M$ , and in particular the Hilbert space yourself when it is not given).

**Exercises:**

1. Investigate the well-posedness of the catenary optimization problem.
2. Investigate the well-posedness (and find solution) of

$$\inf \left\{ \int_0^1 (\partial_x u)^2 dx \mid \int_0^1 u^2 dx = 3 \right\}$$

3. Investigate the well-posedness of

$$\inf \left\{ \int_0^1 (\partial_x u)^2 dx \mid \int_0^1 u^2 dx = 3, \int_0^1 x \cdot u dx = 7 \right\}$$

4. Investigate the well-posedness of

$$\inf \left\{ \int_0^1 (\partial_x u)^2 dx \mid \int_0^1 u^2 dx = 3, u(0) = 0 \right\}$$

5. Investigate the well-posedness of

$$\inf \left\{ \int_0^1 (\partial_x u)^2 dx \mid \int_0^1 u^2 dx \geq 3, u(0) = 0 \right\}$$

6. Investigate the well-posedness of

$$\inf \left\{ \int_0^1 u^2 dx \mid \int_0^1 (\partial_x u)^2 dx = 3, u(0) = 0 \right\}$$

7. Investigate the well-posedness of

$$\inf \left\{ \int_0^1 u^2 dx \mid \int_0^1 (\partial_x u)^2 dx \leq 3, u(0) = 0 \right\}$$

8. Investigate the well-posedness of (KdV-soliton case)

$$\inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \left( (\partial_x u)^2 - u^3 \right) dx \mid \int_0^1 u^2 dx = 3, u(0) = 0 \right\}$$

and (KdV-soliton case)

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( (\partial_x u)^2 - u^3 \right) dx \mid \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 dx = 3, u \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } |x| \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

9. In a finite dimensional approximation with Fourier-truncations, the quadratic functionals above are approximated by finite sums of the (complex) Fourier coefficients. Verify that (up to some constant):

$$\int_0^1 u^2 dx \approx \sum_{-N \leq k \leq N} |c_k|^2$$

$$\int_0^1 (\partial_x u)^2 dx \approx \sum_{-N \leq k \leq N} k^2 |c_k|^2$$

Now consider the finite dimensional problems:

$$\inf \left\{ \sum_{-N \leq k \leq N} k^2 |c_k|^2 \mid \sum_{-N \leq k \leq N} |c_k|^2 = 1 \right\},$$

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{-N \leq k \leq N} k^2 |c_k|^2 \mid \sum_{-N \leq k \leq N} |c_k|^2 = 1 \right\}$$

and show that both problems are well-posed. Related to the infinite dimensional problem, which of these finite dim approximations (or problem) would you call 'spurious'?

## 2 Constraints and Lagrange's Multiplier Rule

- Let  $F$  and  $G$  be functionals, and suppose that the minimization problem

$$U(\gamma) \in \inf \{ F(u) \mid G(u) = \gamma \} =: V(\gamma)$$

is well posed and that the constraint set is regular for all parameters  $\gamma$  in an interval. Denoting the solution by  $U(\gamma)$ , the LMR reads

$$\delta F(U(\gamma)) = \lambda(\gamma) \delta G(U(\gamma)),$$

where the multiplier depends on  $\gamma$  also. Suppose that  $\gamma \rightarrow V(\gamma) = F(U(\gamma))$  (this is called the *value-function*) is smooth.

- Prove that

$$\lambda(\gamma) = \frac{d}{d\gamma} F(U(\gamma)).$$

- Illustrate this result for  $F(u) = \int_0^1 (\partial_x u)^2 dx$ ,  $G(u) = \int_0^1 u^2 dx$ .
- Show: If  $\gamma \rightarrow V(\gamma)$  is *convex* (in the interval considered), then the constrained solution is a minimizer of the *unconstrained problem*:

$$U(\gamma) \in \inf \{ F(u) - \lambda(\gamma) G(u) \}$$

- Show: If  $\gamma \rightarrow V(\gamma)$  is NOT *convex* (in the interval considered), then the constrained solution is NOT a (local) minimizer of the *unconstrained problem* above.

- Determine the explicit solution(s?) of the following problems:

- The catenary problem.
- The problem:

$$\inf \left\{ \int_0^1 (\partial_x u)^2 dx \mid \int_0^1 u^2 dx = 3, \int_0^1 x \cdot u dx = 0; u(0) = u(1) = 0 \right\}$$

... as expected.

- The problem:

$$\inf \left\{ \int_0^1 (\partial_x u)^2 dx \mid \int_0^1 u^2 dx = 3, \int_0^1 \sin(\pi x) \cdot u dx = 0; u(0) = u(1) = 0 \right\}$$

What happens? One or two (non-vanishing) multipliers?

### 3 Boundary & interface conditions, effective boundary cd's

1. Consider on the bounded domain  $\Omega$  (with boundary  $\Gamma$ ) the problem

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Gamma} u d\sigma \mid \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx = 3 \right\}$$

Investigate the well-posedness. Write down the boundary value problem.

2. Consider on the bounded domain  $\Omega$  (with boundary  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ ) the problem

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \mid \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx = 1, u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \right\}$$

- (a) Investigate the well-posedness.  
 (b) Write down the boundary value problem.  
 (c) Give the solution in case  $\Omega$  is the unit square in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $\Gamma_1$  the upper and lower side.  
 (d) Give the solution in case  $\Omega$  is the unit square in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $\Gamma_1 = \partial\Omega$ .
3. Consider the optical-wave guide eigenvalue problem in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [|\nabla E|^2 - k_0^2 n^2 E^2] dx dz \mid u \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } |x| \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

with index

$$n = n(x) = \begin{cases} n_0 & \text{for } |x| > W \\ \text{different} > n_0 & \text{for } |x| < W \end{cases}$$

- (a) Use separation of variables  $E(x, z) = \phi(x) f(z)$  and formulate the eigenvalue problem for  $\phi$ .  
 (b) Investigate interface conditions.  
 (c) Formulate (with complete argumentation) effective boundary conditions at  $|x| = W$  for the problem on  $|x| \leq W$ .  
 (d) Give the complete variational formulation of this problem for  $\phi$ .
4. Consider the functional

$$F(u) = \int \left[ r(x) (\partial_{xx} u)^2 - p(x) (\partial_x u)^2 + q(x) u^2 - f(x) \cdot u \right] dx,$$

where  $r, p, q, f$  are given functions, on the set of functions on  $[0, L]$  with boundary conditions

$$u(0) = \partial_x u(L) = 0.$$

- (a) Write down the Euler-Lagrange equation and the (natural) boundary conditions.  
 (b) Give sensible meaning to this equation when there may be (at most) jump-discontinuities in (one of) the functions  $r, p, q$ .  
 (c) Furthermore, derive the interface conditions at the points of discontinuity of these functions.